



First observations of SO₂ above Venus' clouds by means of Solar Occultation in the Infrared

D. Belyaev,¹ O. Korablev,¹ A. Fedorova,¹ J.-L. Bertaux,^{2,3} A.-C. Vandaele,⁴
F. Montmessin,^{2,3} A. Mahieux,⁴ V. Wilquet,⁴ and R. Drummond⁴

Received 18 March 2008; revised 29 July 2008; accepted 2 September 2008; published 31 December 2008.

[1] Solar Occultation in the Infrared (SOIR) is a part of the Spectroscopy for Investigation of Characteristics of the Atmosphere of Venus (SPICAV)/SOIR occultation experiment on board Venus Express dedicated to the study of gaseous and aerosol vertical structure of Venus' mesosphere. SOIR is an echelle spectrometer with acoustooptic selection of diffraction orders operating in the wavelengths range of 2.2–4.3 μm at high spectral resolution ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 20,000$). Detection of minor constituents such as CO, H₂O, HDO, HCl, HF, and SO₂, at altitudes between 65 and 130 km has been demonstrated. We report results from a series of six occultations with observations of the 4- μm SO₂ band at latitudes 69°–88°N and 23°–30°N. It is the first time when the vertical distribution of SO₂ is retrieved above the clouds with the help of solar occultation direct method. The sulfur dioxide transmission spectrum is measured on a background of strong CO₂ absorption. Each retrieved vertical profile of SO₂ is characterized by few points; the mixing ratio of SO₂ being ~ 0.1 ppm with scale height 1 ± 0.4 km for polar measurements (evening observations) and ~ 1 ppm with scale height 3 ± 1 km at low latitudes (morning observations) at the altitude of about 70 km. Upper limits of $< \sim 0.05$ ppm are established around 75 km.

Citation: Belyaev, D., O. Korablev, A. Fedorova, J.-L. Bertaux, A.-C. Vandaele, F. Montmessin, A. Mahieux, V. Wilquet, and R. Drummond (2008), First observations of SO₂ above Venus' clouds by means of Solar Occultation in the Infrared, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, E00B25, doi:10.1029/2008JE003143.

1. Introduction

[2] Sulfur dioxide is an important component of Venus' atmosphere because this gas is the chemical precursor of H₂SO₄ droplets clouds which completely enshroud Venus. Any significant change in SO₂ above and within the clouds of Venus can affect photochemistry and dynamics of the clouds [Esposito *et al.*, 1997]. Moreover, sulfur dioxide behavior in the atmosphere may be an indicator of possible geological activity on the surface of the planet.

[3] Sulfur dioxide in the Venusian atmosphere was first detected from ultraviolet Earth-based observations of the solar light scattered by cloud particles and Rayleigh scattering with a high spectral resolution ~ 0.1 nm [Barker, 1979], and the detected mixing ratio was 0.02–0.5 ppm at the cloud top. Space-based identifications of SO₂ UV absorption followed soon from Pioneer Venus orbiter (PV) [Stewart *et al.*, 1979] and International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) [Conway *et al.*, 1979]. The first one provided a retrieval of SO₂ vertical column density of about 10^{17} cm⁻² with a

spectral resolution of 1.3 nm [Esposito *et al.*, 1979] while the second one registered a column density of 4×10^{16} cm⁻² (0.1–0.8 ppm above the clouds) with a higher resolution of 0.3 nm. These abundances were larger by orders of magnitude than previously established upper limits delivered from rocket soundings in 1967 [Jenkins *et al.*, 1969; Anderson *et al.*, 1969] and Orbiting Astronomical Observatory (OAO) in 1972 [Owen and Sagan, 1972]. Continuous observations of the PV UV spectrometer from 1978 to 1986 showed a steady decline of the cloud top SO₂ content down to 20–50 ppb [Esposito *et al.*, 1988]. This decline was confirmed by 1987–1988 IUE observations [Na *et al.*, 1990]. In-between observations of sulfur dioxide in the UV range were made in 1983 by a balloon-borne spectrophotometer with a resolution of 0.4 nm [Parisot *et al.*, 1986]. These measurements gave a mixing ratio of 70 ppb and, hence, confirmed the decrease of SO₂ at that moment. Later rocket measurements in the UV range with a resolution of 0.2 nm resulted in SO₂ content of 80 ± 40 ppb in 1988 and 120 ± 60 ppb at the equator in 1991 [McClintock *et al.*, 1994; Na *et al.*, 1994]. That was in agreement with PV and IUE results. One more UV measurement was made in 1995 from Hubble Space Telescope (HST) [Na and Esposito, 1995]. The SO₂ abundance was 20 ± 10 ppb that is lower than values obtained in 1978–1991 by a factor of 2–5 again confirming the decrease of SO₂.

[4] Sulfur dioxide was also measured in the infrared spectral range by the Fourier Spectrometer on board Venera

¹Space Research Institute, Moscow, Russia.

²Service d'Aéronomie du CNRS, Verrières-le-Buisson, France.

³Also at Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Université de Versailles-Saint-Quentin, Guyancourt, France.

⁴Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, Brussels, Belgium.

15 orbiter [Moroz *et al.*, 1985]. The instrument detected SO₂ absorption bands at 519, 1150, and 1360 cm⁻¹ with a spectral resolution of 5–7 cm⁻¹. Nadir measurements covered the high northern latitudes almost completely; the sounding at altitude was around 69 km (40 mbar pressure). A strong dependence of SO₂ content on latitude was reported: from 20 ppb at equator to 0.5 ppm in polar region. These data were found consistent with Pioneer Venus and IUE results [Zasova *et al.*, 1993], taking into account the different effective sounding altitudes.

[5] The goal of this paper is to present the first results concerning the detection of sulfur dioxide above the Venus' cloud top by means of solar occultation in the infrared range using SOIR on board Venus Express orbiter (VEX) [Titov *et al.*, 2006; Bertaux *et al.*, 2007]. The use of solar occultations probing (at the planet terminator) allows us to measure the atmospheric transmission and to retrieve information about the vertical structure and the composition at altitudes between 65 and 130 km (see Vandaele *et al.* [2008] and Fedorova *et al.* [2008] for the description of the technique and results for other key constituents of the Venus atmosphere). The slant density of SO₂ is rapidly decreasing with altitude because of photolysis by sunlight. In occultation geometry this gas originating from the clouds is, therefore, expected to be observed only near the cloud top. The high photometric accuracy of SOIR instrument allows to measure transmitted spectrum down to slant optical thicknesses of $\tau \sim 6$ (from aerosols), making it possible to detect SO₂ with a satisfactory signal/noise ratio down to 68 km.

2. Instrument

[6] SOIR instrument is a compact high-resolution infrared echelle spectrometer, in which an acoustooptical tunable filter (AOTF) is used for preliminary sorting of echelle diffraction orders [Korablev *et al.*, 2004; Nevejans *et al.*, 2006]. The instrument with spectral range 2300–4500 cm⁻¹ and resolution of ~ 0.15 cm⁻¹ is capable to detect important minor gaseous constituents such as CO, SO₂, HCl, HF, H₂O and HDO by means of solar occultation. The detailed description of the spectrometer, its in-flight performance, and calibrations are given in papers by Nevejans *et al.* [2006] and Mahieux *et al.* [2008]. We describe briefly the main parameters of the instrument, and some details related to SO₂ detection.

[7] The spectrometer's rectangular field of view (FOV) is 30 arc minutes in spatial dimension, by 2 arc min in spectral dimension. This FOV is projected into 256 by 320 elements of an HgCdTe matrix detector. The elements of the detector, corresponding to the height of the slit are binned to form two groups each corresponding to 15 arc minutes. The angular diameter of the Sun from Venus orbit is ~ 40 arc min. The width of the slit corresponds to 2 arc min. The spectrum is dispersed by the echelle onto the 320 spectral elements of the detector. The resolution of the echelle spectrometer, operating in diffraction orders 104–204 is 0.15 cm⁻¹. The SO₂ absorption bands were studied in the 4- μ m range (2500 cm⁻¹), corresponding to 111 and 112 diffraction orders. The AOTF serves as an electrically tuned filter with a passband of ~ 25 cm⁻¹, which is slightly larger than the widths of a single diffraction order [Mahieux *et al.*, 2008]. Moreover, the spectral profile of the AOTF trans-

mittance with side lobes includes also a few adjacent orders. Therefore, the measured spectrum contains a mixture of several orders. In the present study we consider the contribution of five nearby diffraction orders from each side. Calibration of the AOTF spectral function is made as described by Mahieux *et al.* [2008].

3. Calculations

3.1. Modeling of Transmission Spectra

[8] The solar occultation technique allows measuring the atmospheric transmission at the terminator of a planet (either sunrise or sunset). For a spacecraft moving along its orbit the Sun is occulted by the planetary limb. During the occultation the FOV of the spectrometer is maintained by the inertial spacecraft attitude in Sun direction. A solar spectrum, measured out of the atmosphere (in our case, above ~ 150 km of tangent altitude), is considered as a reference spectrum $F_0(\nu)$ (ν denotes wave number). Then, the instrument registers a set of solar spectra $F(\nu, z)$, passed through the atmosphere at various altitudes of the target point z . The solar occultation method is self-calibrated with respect to the atmospheric transmission which for a given altitude z is determined as:

$$T(\nu, z) = F(\nu, z)/F_0(\nu). \quad (1)$$

The strongest absorption band of SO₂ within the spectral range of SOIR is located around 4 μ m (2500 cm⁻¹). This range is contaminated by a strong absorption of O¹⁶C¹²O¹⁸ isotope of CO₂ (20003–00001 transition) and the SO₂ spectrum is registered on the background of the CO₂ absorption (Figure 1). The contribution of other atmospheric gases such as H₂O and its isotopes, HCl, HF is negligible in this range; among the molecules listed in HITRAN 2004 spectral database [Rothman *et al.*, 2005] the strongest absorption is expected from HDO, and it does not exceed 10⁻². The transmission may be then theoretically expressed by the following combination:

$$T(\nu, z) = T_{\text{CO}_2}(\nu, z)T_{\text{SO}_2}(\nu, z)T_\tau(\nu, z), \quad (2)$$

where

$$T_{\text{CO}_2}(\nu, z) = \exp \left[-2 \int_z^\infty \sigma_{\text{CO}_2}(T(l), p(l)) n_{\text{CO}_2}(l) dl \right],$$

$$T_{\text{SO}_2}(\nu, z) = \exp \left[-2 \int_z^\infty \sigma_{\text{SO}_2}(T(l), p(l)) n_{\text{SO}_2}(l) dl \right],$$

$$T_\tau(\nu, z) = \exp[-\tau_a(\nu, z)].$$

Integration is performed along the optical trajectory l in the atmosphere. Gaseous local density $n(l)$ is defined from respective slant density using the “onion peeling” method [Bézard *et al.*, 1987; Vandaele *et al.*, 2008] assuming spherical symmetry of the atmosphere, and hydrostatic equilibrium. The absorption cross section $\sigma_f(T, p)$ can be




Figure 1. Synthetic spectra of SO₂ (for several mixing ratios, 0.05 (red), 0.1 (blue), and 1 ppm (black)) are presented at occultation altitude of 70 km on a background of CO₂ spectrum (green curve) in range 2470–2525 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the echelle orders 111 and 112.

calculated using line-by-line simulations of gaseous absorption using line parameters from spectroscopic database. The slant optical thickness of aerosol $\tau_a(\nu, z)$ is determined from the occultation procedure as

$$\tau_a(\nu, z) = -\ln(T_a(\nu, z)), \quad (3)$$

where $T_a(\nu, z)$ is the continuum derived from the measured transmission spectra (equation (1)) following a retrieval procedure described below. In the present analysis we do not take into account the atmospheric refraction, which may introduce an error of a fraction of km into the effective sounded altitude at 70 km [Mahieux et al., 2008].

[9] All necessary spectroscopic gaseous parameters for calculations in equation (2) are taken from HITRAN 2004 database. Within the spectral range of interest (2450–2550 cm⁻¹) the SO₂ absorption is observed on the background of carbon dioxide absorption dominated by isotopic band of ¹⁶O¹²C¹⁸O (628), and the mixing ratio of SO₂ is derived with respect to this isotope. The isotopic ratio of 628 isotope on Earth is 3.9×10^{-3} , and it is almost the same on Venus with an accuracy of $\sim 5\%$ (as discussed by Vandaele et al. [2008]). Further in the text, for simplicity, CO₂ will signify the 628 isotope.

[10] A set of SO₂ synthetic spectra of Venus atmospheric transmission in occultation geometry for the tangent altitude of 70 km is presented together with the CO₂ model (including all isotopes) in Figure 1. We assumed VIRA-2 empirical atmospheric model [Moroz and Zasova, 1997; Zasova et al., 2006] for atmospheric density and uniformly mixed SO₂ with several relative abundances: 0.05, 0.1 and 1 ppm (from the VIRA data this value is 0.1 ppm for the same altitude). In Figure 2 the spectrum of the combined $T_{CO_2}T_{SO_2}$ transmission (blue curves for 111 and 112 orders) at the altitude 68 km is modeled taking into account

contribution of adjacent diffraction orders of the echelle spectrometer. Separate CO₂ and SO₂ simulations with the orders mixing are shown as well (green and black curves, respectively).

3.2. Algorithm of Fitting

[11] As it is evident from the synthetic model (Figures 1 and 2), the SO₂ absorption features are overlapped by a strong CO₂ structure. Not a single isolated line of SO₂ is available for the analysis. Therefore, a combined synthetic model $T_{CO_2}T_{SO_2}$ including extinction and the mixing of diffraction orders was compared to the measured spectrum at each altitude. The fitting was performed in the spectral range of 2483–2500 cm⁻¹ in the diffraction order 111, and of 2505–2523 cm⁻¹ in the order 112. The procedure to compute the synthetic model including aerosol extinction and the order mixing consists of the following steps:

[12] 1. Computing of theoretical $T_{CO_2}(\nu, z)$ and $T_{SO_2}(\nu, z)$ transmissions separately for a specified altitude z . The CO₂ density n_{CO_2} and the SO₂ mixing ratio $f_{SO_2} = n_{SO_2}/n_{CO_2}$ are considered as variable parameters.

[13] 2. Combined transmission $T_{CO_2SO_2}(\nu, z) = T_{CO_2}(\nu, z)T_{SO_2}(\nu, z)$ is calculated taking into account the mixing of echelle orders with the contribution of five diffraction orders from each side: $T_{CO_2SO_2}(\nu, z) \rightarrow T_{CO_2SO_2}^{ord}(\nu, z)$. The full spectral range which contributes to the combined spectrum amounts to 2370–2640 cm⁻¹.

[14] 3. Two “differential” continua $I_{dif}^{mod}(\nu, z)$ and $I_{dif}^{data}(\nu, z)$ are extracted from both theoretical $T_{CO_2SO_2}(\nu, z)$ and measured $T_{data}(\nu, z)$ spectra (equation (1)), in a similar manner as in the method of differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) [Plat, 1994]. Continuum curves are determined at a number of spectral intervals between absorption lines where absorption is minimal. Square polynomial interpolation is used in between.




Figure 2. Synthetic spectra of combined transmission ($\text{CO}_2 \cdot \text{SO}_2$) (dashed curves) are presented for echelle orders (top) 111 and (bottom) 112 at the altitude of 68 km together with separated models of CO_2 (thin curves) and SO_2 (for 1 ppm; thick curves). All the spectra are calculated taking into account the contribution from ± 5 adjacent echelle orders due to nonzero side lobes of the AOTF passband function.

[15] 4. Calculation of additional extinction factor (due to attenuation in the atmosphere) $A(\nu, z) = 1/I_{dif}^{mod}(\nu, z)$ that describes difference between gaseous “differential” and aerosol continua. The aerosol continuum is then defined as $T_{aer}(\nu, z) = I_{dif}^{data}(\nu, z) A(\nu, z)$, and, therefore, we can find the atmospheric optical thickness $\tau_a(\nu, z)$ following equation (3).

[16] 5. Finally, a combined synthetic transmission is found as $T_{mod}(\nu, z) = T_{CO_2SO_2}^{ord}(\nu, z) T_\tau(\nu, z)$ (equation (2)).

[17] The resulted synthetic model $T_{mod}(\nu, z)$ is fitted to each measured spectrum to retrieve the values of n_{CO_2} and f_{SO_2} corresponding to the minimum of the function

$$S(n_{CO_2}, f_{SO_2}) = \sum_i \left(\frac{T_{data}(\nu_i, z) - T_{mod}(\nu_i, z)}{\sigma_i} \right)^2, \quad (4)$$

where i is a number of a spectral point (1–320), σ_i is the error corresponding to this point. The aerosol optical thickness τ_a is defined as a by-product of the retrieval at

each altitude. We will use value $\tau_a = 1$ as reference to define the cloud top level (though it is rather the top of the haze, above the classical cloud deck).

4. Occultations

4.1. Strategy of Observations

[18] From December 2006 to August 2007 more than 20 occultations covering the spectral range relevant to SO_2 were performed. We have analyzed a subset of six occultations available before July 2007. Two measurements correspond to low latitudes (23° – 30°N) and four to high latitudes of Venus (69° – 88°N) (see Table 1). Observations nearby the pole were made from the closest distance to the limb (~ 2000 km, that corresponds to altitude resolution about 1 km), while observations at low latitudes were made from larger distances (> 5000 km with vertical resolution of > 3 km). During an occultation two spectra are recorded simultaneously by two bins of the detector corresponding to

Table 1. Tabulation of Analyzed Occultations With Main Parameters of Observation^a

Orbit (in/eg)	Date	Local Time	Lat	Lon	Dist, km	Spectral Range, cm^{-1} (Order)	Altitude Range, km	Altitude of $\tau = 1$, km	f_{SO_2} , ppm	H_{SO_2} , km
235 (in)	12 Dec 2006	0149	88°	309°	2130	2483–2500 (111)	68	76	0.5	—
244 (in)	21 Dec 2006	1953	85°	246°	2242	2483–2500 (111)	68	75	0.7	—
251 (in)	28 Dec 2006	1916	82°	260°	1741	2505–2523 (112)	68–71	76	0.3	1.1
262 (in)	8 Jan 2007	1830	69°	282°	2274	2483–2500 (111)	69–72	75	0.7	0.8
434 (eg)	29 Jun 2007	0558	30°	265°	5502	2483–2500 (111)	70–76	83	1	2.8
435 (eg)	30 Jun 2007	0558	23°	267°	6179	2505–2523 (112)	72–78	83	3	3.3

^aOrbit (in/eg), number of orbit (ingress/egress); Date, date of observation; Local time, local time on Venus; Lat, latitude; Lon, longitude; Dist, distance to limb; Spectral range (order), spectral range (cm^{-1}) with corresponded echelle order; Altitude range, altitude range of SO_2 detection (km); Altitude at $\tau = 1$, altitude at which optical thickness is one; f_{SO_2} , mixing ratio of SO_2 (ppm) at level of ~ 69 km; H_{SO_2} , scale height of SO_2 at 70 km.




Figure 3. An example of transmission spectrum measured during an occultation on the orbit 244 at the altitude of 68 km is presented for the echelle order 111 (blue curve) with level of noise (red curve). Simulated spectrum of combined transmission $T_{\text{mod}}(\nu, z) = T_{\text{CO}_2\text{SO}_2}^{\text{ord}}(\nu, z) T_r(\nu, z)$ (see step 5 of the fitting algorithm) corresponds to the best fit of 0.7 ppm SO₂ mixing ratio (black curve). Also, a fit in which SO₂ absorption is forced to be zero and only the CO₂ absorption remains $T_{\text{mod}}^{\text{CO}_2}(\nu, z) T_r(\nu, z)$ is shown by the green curve for the reference.

both parts of the spectrometer slit (see above). For the six occultations selected in present study the spectra measured in the two bins coincide within 10%. It means that for these occultations the slit is kept nearly parallel to the limb, and we have averaged spectra from the two bins. For the remaining observations the slit cannot be considered parallel to the limb; more sophisticated geometry calculations and appropriate data processing for this case will be addressed to a future paper about SOIR performance.

4.2. Data Analysis

[19] Figure 3 displays a transmission spectrum measured at the altitude of 68 km during the occultation at orbit 244 (blue line). The spectrum is compared with a synthetic spectra of CO₂ ($T_{\text{mod}}^{\text{CO}_2}(\nu, z) T_r(\nu, z)$) and of combined CO₂*SO₂ ($T_{\text{mod}}(\nu, z)$) with retrieved optimal values of n_{CO_2} and f_{SO_2} as described above. The observed transmission is of the order of 0.3% only: the solar flux is highly attenuated, mainly by aerosols, with a horizontal optical thickness $\tau_a \approx 5.8$. The two synthetic spectra (for CO₂ and CO₂*SO₂), which are built to mimic the observations, contain an aerosol attenuation, and the contribution of the adjacent orders, not completely eliminated by the AOTF function. This contribution amounts to $\approx 50\%$ of the transmission in the main order observed.

[20] The signature of SO₂ in the measured spectrum may be extracted by dividing the observed transmission by the CO₂ simulation using:

$$T_{\text{data}}^{\text{SO}_2}(\nu, z) = \frac{T_{\text{data}}(\nu, z)}{T_{\text{mod}}^{\text{CO}_2}(\nu, z) T_r(\nu, z)} \quad (5)$$

and comparing the result with what is obtained using the simulated transmission:

$$T_{\text{mod}}^{\text{SO}_2}(\nu, z) = \frac{T_{\text{mod}}(\nu, z)}{T_{\text{mod}}^{\text{CO}_2}(\nu, z) T_r(\nu, z)} \quad (6)$$

This is illustrated in Figure 4. The resulting model transmission of SO₂ is slightly different from the one displayed on Figure 1, because here the contribution of adjacent orders leaking through the AOTF orders is taken into account.

[21] An alternate estimation of SO₂ contents was performed by comparing the measured SO₂ transmission spectrum (equation 5) with the simulated one (equation 6) using a “scatter plot” where each point has for x coordinate $T_{\text{mod}}^{\text{SO}_2}(\nu, z)$, and for y coordinate the $T_{\text{data}}^{\text{SO}_2}(\nu, z)$ (Figure 5a and equation (4)). The same exercise was performed with differential transmission dT , where $dT = T - \text{average}(T(\nu))$ (Figure 5b), where the average value is a sliding average over 1 cm^{-1} along the whole 2484–2500 cm^{-1} range. When the slope on the plot is one at some variable parameter f_{SO_2} , it means that the SO₂ mixing ratio during the measurement is equal (or very near) to this f_{SO_2} . The slope is found using least squares method. In the example shown, the slope is $k = 0.94 \pm 0.20$ for T , and $k = 0.90 \pm 0.16$ for $d(T)$.

4.3. Results

[22] Vertical profiles of the sulfur dioxide mixing ratio are presented in Figure 6, together with aerosol slant optical thickness retrieved from the same measurement sequences as described above. Sometimes the fitting process does not allow finding a distinct minimum; in such cases only upper




Figure 4. SO₂ spectrum $T_{data}^{SO_2}(\nu, z)$ (thin curve) retrieved from the same measured spectrum as in Figure 3 following equation (5) is compared with SO₂ synthetic model $T_{mod}^{SO_2}(\nu, z)$ (thick curve) following equation (6). Arrows mark the most prominent absorption features of sulfur dioxide. Only the gaseous transmission without contribution of aerosol extinction is presented.

limits of the SO₂ abundance can be found (indicated by triangles). All the remaining points signify a positive detection with error bars. For all four high-latitude occultations available (69°–88°N) about 0.1 ppm of SO₂ is detected at the level of 70 km, rapidly decreasing with a scale height of $H_{SO_2} = 1 \pm 0.4$ km above. At low latitudes (23°–30°N) we detect significantly higher contents of about 1–3 ppm and $H_{SO_2} = 3 \pm 1$ km. We used only the detected SO₂ values to estimate the scale height; upper limits were not taken into account.

[23] The clouds top level seems to be a bit higher at low latitudes where mixing ratio of SO₂ is found larger. The

altitude where $\tau_a = 1$, a possible definition of clouds top level, is found to be of the order 75–76 km for latitudes >69° and 83 km for latitudes 23° and 30°. Usually the clouds top is known to be at a lower altitude for high latitudes [Crisp, 1986]. It must be recognized that the clouds top is usually defined with a vertical depth of unity, at a much lower level than where the horizontal optical thickness is $\tau_a = 1$. In fact, at this level we probe the upper haze, which stands above the main clouds.

[24] In transmission we are sensitive to the total slant density of SO₂ molecules, and not to the mixing ratio. This is why we would not be able to see SO₂ at higher




Figure 5. “Scattered plots” illustrating the retrieval of SO₂ transmission are presented for the same measurements as in Figures 3 and 4. (a) The measured transmission $T_{data}^{SO_2}$ plotted versus the modeled one $T_{mod}^{SO_2}$, with a linear regression of this dependence (solid line). (b) The transmission dT , where $dT = T - \text{average}(T)$ is plotted in the same manner. When the slope of the linear approximation k is close to unity, the measured and theoretical SO₂ contents are equal to each other (or very near).




Figure 6. Vertical profiles of SO₂ mixing ratio retrieved from all analyzed occultations (points with error bars) together with aerosol profiles (solid curves). Triangles denote the point where only the upper limits of the SO₂ detection. The conditions of observations are indicated on the plots: d, distance to limb; Lat, latitude; Lon, longitude; LT, local time (see Table 1).

altitudes, even if the SO₂ mixing ratio would be >1 ppmv above 75 km.

5. Uncertainties

[25] There are several aspects that impact on the accuracy of retrieved results. Some of them deal with specific features of the spectrometer and some others with uncertainties of the observation geometry.

[26] The signal/noise ratio (SNR) of the instrument is about 10³ for solar spectrum outside the atmosphere in the center of diffraction orders 111–112. On the edges of the detector SNR decreases by a factor of 4–5 due to combined effect of AOTF passband and echelle blaze functions. The extinction by aerosol and CO₂ at the altitude of 68–70 km (where SO₂ is detected) decreases the signal by a factor of 5×10^{-3} . The resulting SNR effective for the altitude range of SO₂ detection varies from 2 to 3 in the center of the detector to 10–15 at the edges. These uncertainties for each pixel are taken into account as σ_i in equation (4), and they are translated into ~40% of random error of retrieved SO₂ abundance.

[27] Systematic uncertainties considered are AOTF calibrations (different for the two parts of the slit), and altitude determination. The different AOTF calibrations [Mahieux *et al.*, 2008] are minimized by averaging spectra from the two bins and can be estimated as ~10% of relative error in the final gaseous content. The altitude uncertainties depend on the distance of the spacecraft to the limb (± 0.5 km at

2000 km and ± 2 km at 6000 km); also we have neglected the atmospheric refraction (see above). However, for the retrieval of the mixing ratio the altitude uncertainty results in extraction of CO₂ local density with errors ~5%. Summarizing all mentioned uncertainties we obtain errors of sulfur dioxide mixing ratio of about 50–70%.

6. Discussions

[28] The measurements of sulfur dioxide above clouds were performed during almost 40 years (Figure 7 and Table 2) with some gaps. Summarizing the history of SO₂ observations on Venus clouds top, an immediate conclusion is that the SOIR measurements indicate higher mixing ratios by a factor 5 to 25 with respect to all previous measurements. The solar occultation measurements are made at the terminator of the planet; that is, around one half of the optical path is in the shade, and another is sunlit. Most of previous nadir and limb observations, with the exception Venera 15 IR measurements were performed in the UV range on the dayside of the planet. The increased contents of SO₂ measured on the terminator may be explained by its photochemical behavior. Indeed, the photochemical lifetime of SO₂ exposed to sun light at 68–70 km altitude is $\sim 3 \times 10^3$ s (i.e., 1 h) [Mills *et al.*, 2007], and its contents should depend on local time. However, other photochemical cycles in which the SO₂ is involved are more complicated: with UV solar light SO₂ is rapidly produced again from SO, and the timescale for net loss of SO₂ via oxidation to SO₃




Figure 7. Measurements of SO₂ on Venus above clouds, available from 1969 up to now (see Table 2). The SO₂ content in ppb volume is considered at the level of 40 mbar (~69 km of altitude). SOIR results indicate values for 68 and 70 km (only at high latitudes) and SPICAV UV results were derived from 100 to 110 km.

is much longer, 10^6 – 10^7 s [Winick and Stewart, 1980; Krasnopolsky and Pollack, 1994; Pernice et al., 2004]. These estimations for the lifetime depends on the column abundance of SO₂ and the production rate for SO₃, both of which are not well constrained.

[29] Comparing SOIR results on morning and evening terminators (see Table 1 and Figure 6), for morning occultations (at low latitudes) we measured ~1 ppm of SO₂ mixing ratio while in the evening (high latitudes) ~0.1 ppm is detected at 70 km of altitude. Unfortunately, at present we do not have considerable statistics of observations to compare morning and evening occultations at similar latitudes. An extensive set of daytime and nighttime SO₂ measurements was obtained by Fourier spectrometer (FS) on Venera 15. A strong latitude dependence of SO₂ was retrieved from 20 ppb at the equator up to 0.5 ppm in polar regions [Zasova et al., 1993], but diurnal cycle dependencies were not analyzed.

[30] Regarding the altitude distribution of SO₂, on the average SOIR measurements indicate a scale height of $1 \pm$

0.4 km for polar measurements and 3 ± 1 km at low latitudes at the altitude about 70 km. The latter is comparable with the scale height retrieved by Venera 15 FS at 69 km [Zasova et al., 1993]. For polar regions the scatter of Venera 15 scale heights is large, data being clustered around 1 km and 4–6 km. The estimations of scale height from PV ultraviolet measurements [Esposito et al., 1984] ranges from 1.5 to 4–5 km; the IUE measurements [Na et al., 1990] are consistent with a scale height of 3 ± 1 km. Our data are not in conflict with these previous measurements. The peculiarities of the solar occultation technique are operations at the planet terminator, hence, at local morning or evening, and a significant horizontal optical path, reaching 450 km at 70 km. Therefore, SOIR measurements might reflect evening/morning, and also horizontal inhomogeneities, the latter may be associated with small-scale haze structures. VIRTIS has observed such structures at a scale down to few kilometers [Piccioni, 2008].

[31] Several hypothesis have been put forward in order to explain the amazing evolution of sulfur dioxide content

Table 2. List of Previous Detections of Sulfur Dioxide Above Venus' Clouds by Several Methods and in Several Spectral Ranges^a

Reference	Instrument	Spectral Range (nm)	SO ₂ Content (ppb)
Anderson et al. [1969]	Rocket	200–300	<50
Owen and Sagan [1972]	OAO	200–360	<10
Esposito et al. [1988]	UV spectrometer (PV)	200–320	50–400
Na et al. [1990]	UV spectrometer (IUE)	200–220	50–380
Parisot et al. [1986]	Balloon	200–320	70
Moroz et al. [1985]; Zasova et al. [1993]	Fourier Spectrometer (Venera 15)	7350, 8700, 19270	200
McClintock et al. [1994]	Rocket	190–230	80–120
Na and Esposito [1995]	HST	207–216	20
Bertaux et al. [2008]	SPICAV UV (VEX)	200–320	300–1000
This paper	SOIR (VEX)	4000	100–550

^aSO₂ content correspond to altitude of ~69 km except SPICAV UV results that were derived from 100 to 110 km.

above Venus clouds on a timescale of tens of years from 1967 to 1995 (Figure 7). After a sharp increase between 1967 and 1979, a stable decline down to ~ 20 ppb at 1995 was observed, with values close to upper limits of 1967. Three possible explanations of such behavior were recently recalled by Mills *et al.* [2007]: active volcanism [Esposito, 1984], changes in the effective eddy diffusion within the cloud layers [Krasnopolsky, 1986, p. 147], and changes in atmospheric dynamics [Clancy and Muhleman, 1991]. The volcano hypothesis uses the volcanic eruption as a source of buoyancy that allows the abundant SO₂ below the Venus clouds to break through the stable upper cloud layer. The entrained SO₂ is then observable remotely at the cloud top in the UV. Similarly, because the observed SO₂ mixing ratio may differ by as much as 4 orders of magnitude from the base to the top of the cloud layers [Bertaux *et al.*, 1996; Esposito *et al.*, 1997], a small change in the effective eddy diffusion within the cloud layers may significantly alter the cloud top abundance of SO₂ [Krasnopolsky, 1986, p. 147]. The average SO₂ abundance below the clouds varies probably much more slowly, connected to the evolution of volcanic activity over geologic timescales [Fegley *et al.*, 1997a].

[32] Large values of SO₂ (up to 2 ppm) observed by SOIR on Venus Express in a limited set are supported by recent microwave observations by Sandor *et al.* [2007], indicating also a large variability of SO₂, and by SPICAV UV stellar occultations with retrieval from 0.3 to 1 ppmv of the gas at 100–110 km [Bertaux *et al.*, 2008]. At present it would be premature to conclude that in 2004–2007 we observe another global increase of SO₂ contents, like one suspected in between 1970 and 1977 or a leftover of such an increase in the past. Sulfur dioxide content at Venus' clouds top might express significant variations in the haze cover. Sulfuric acid droplets are a potential reservoir of SO₂, and a surge of SO₂ may result from an increased evaporation of these droplets, triggered by a temperature change of dynamical origin.

[33] Further monitoring of SO₂ by SOIR and SPICAV at high altitudes may clarify these dependences.

[34] **Acknowledgments.** We thank our collaborators of the three institutes for the design and fabrication of the SOIR instrument, which was mainly built in Belgium by OIP Company, under the direction of IASB-BIRA (IASB-BIRA/Belgium, Service d'Aéronomie/France, and IKI/Moscow). Russian team acknowledges RFBR grant 06-02-72563. Belgium team was supported by the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office and the European Space Agency (ESA, PRODEX program, contracts C 90268, 90113, and 17645). Procurement of AOTF was funded by CNES.

References

- Anderson, R. C., J. G. Pipes, A. L. Broadfoot, and L. Wallace (1969), Spectra of Venus and Jupiter from 1800 to 3200 Å, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **26**, 874–888, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1969)026<0874:SOVAJF>2.0.CO;2.
- Barker, E. S. (1979), Detection of SO₂ in the UV spectrum of Venus, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **6**(2), 117–120, doi:10.1029/GL006i002p00117.
- Bertaux, J.-L., T. Widemann, A. Hauchecorne, V. I. Moroz, and A. P. Ekonomov (1996), VEGA 1 and VEGA 2 entry probes: An investigation of local UV absorption (220–400 nm) in the atmosphere of Venus (SO₂, aerosols, cloud structure), *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 12,709–12,745, doi:10.1029/96JE00466.
- Bertaux, J.-L., *et al.* (2007), SPICAV on Venus express: Three spectrometers to study the Global structure and composition of the Venus atmosphere, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **55**, 1673–1700, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2007.01.016.
- Bertaux, J.-L., *et al.* (2008), SPICAV/SOIR on board Venus Express: An overview of two years of observations, paper presented at 37th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, Montreal, Canada, July 13–21.
- Bézar, B., J.-P. Baluteau, A. Marten, and N. Coron (1987), The ¹²C/¹³C and ¹⁶O/¹⁸O ratios in the atmosphere of Venus from high-resolution 10- μ m spectroscopy, *Icarus*, **72**(3), 623–634, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(87)90057-1.
- Clancy, R. T., and D. O. Muhleman (1991), Long-term (1979–1990) changes in the thermal dynamical and compositional structure of the Venus mesosphere as inferred from microwave spectral observations of ¹²CO, ¹³CO and C¹⁸O, *Icarus*, **89**, 129–146, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(91)90093-9.
- Conway, R. R., R. P. McCoy, C. A. Barth, and A. L. Lane (1979), IUE detection of sulfur dioxide in the atmosphere of Venus, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **6**(7), 629–631, doi:10.1029/GL006i007p00629.
- Crisp, D. (1986), Radiative forcing of the Venus mesosphere. I - Solar fluxes and heating rates, *Icarus*, **67**, 484–514, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(86)90126-0.
- Esposito, L. W. (1984), Sulfur dioxide: Episodic injection shows evidence for active Venus volcanism, *Science*, **223**, 1072–1074, doi:10.1126/science.223.4640.1072.
- Esposito, L. W., J. R. Winick, and A. I. Stewart (1979), Sulfur dioxide in the Venus atmosphere: Distribution and implications, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **6**(7), 601–604, doi:10.1029/GL006i007p00601.
- Esposito, L. W., M. Copley, R. Eckert, L. Gates, A. I. F. Stewart, and H. Worden (1988), Sulfur dioxide at the Venus cloud tops, 1978–1986, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **93**, 5267–5276, doi:10.1029/JD093iD05p05267.
- Esposito, L. W., J.-L. Bertaux, V. Krasnopolsky, V. I. Moroz, and L. V. Zasova (1997), Chemistry of lower atmosphere and clouds, in *Venus II*, edited by S. W. Bougher, D. M. Hunten, and R. J. Phillips, pp. 415–458, Univ. of Ariz. Press, Tucson.
- Fedorova, A., *et al.* (2008), HDO and H₂O vertical distributions and isotopic ratio in the Venus mesosphere by Solar Occultation at Infrared spectrometer on board Venus Express, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2008JE003146, in press.
- Fegley Jr., B., G. Klingelhofer, K. Lodders, and T. Widemann (1997a), Geochemistry of surface-atmosphere interactions on Venus, in *Venus II*, edited by S. W. Bougher, D. M. Hunten, and R. J. Phillips, pp. 591–636, Univ. of Ariz. Press, Tucson.
- Jenkins, E. B., D. C. Morton, and A. V. Sweigart (1969), Rocket spectra of Venus and Jupiter from 2000 to 3000 Å, *Astrophys. J.*, **157**, 913–924, doi:10.1086/150123.
- Korablev, O. I., J.-L. Bertaux, I. I. Vinogradov, Y. K. Kalinnikov, D. Nevejans, E. Neefs, T. Le Barbu, and G. Durry (2004), Compact high-resolution echelle-AOTF NIR spectrometer for atmospheric measurements, in *Proceedings of the 5th ICSO, Eur. Space Agency Spec. Publ., ESA SP-554*, 73–80.
- Krasnopolsky, V. A. (1986), *Photochemistry of the Atmosphere of Mars and Venus*, 147 pp., Springer, Berlin.
- Krasnopolsky, V. A., and J. B. Pollack (1994), H₂O–H₂SO₄ system in Venus' clouds and OCS, CO, and H₂SO₄ profiles in Venus' troposphere, *Icarus*, **109**, 58–78, doi:10.1006/icar.1994.1077.
- Mahieux, A., *et al.* (2008), In-flight performance and calibration of SPICAV SOIR on board Venus Express, *Appl. Opt.*, **47**(13), 2252–2265, doi:10.1364/AO.47.002252.
- McClintock, W. E., C. A. Barth, and R. A. Kohnert (1994), Sulfur dioxide in the atmosphere of Venus, *Icarus*, **112**, 382–388, doi:10.1006/icar.1994.1192.
- Mills, F. P., L. W. Esposito, and Y. L. Yung (2007), Atmospheric composition, chemistry, and clouds, in *Exploring Venus as a Terrestrial Planet*, *Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol. 176, edited by L. W. Esposito, E. R. Stefan, T. E. Cravens, pp. 73–100, AGU, Washington, D. C.
- Moroz, V. I., and L. V. Zasova (1997), VIRIA-2: A review of inputs for updating the Venus International Reference Atmosphere, *Adv. Space Res.*, **19**(8), 1191–1201, doi:10.1016/S0273-1177(97)00270-6.
- Moroz, V. I., *et al.* (1985), Venera 15 and Venera 16 infrared experiment. 4. Preliminary results of spectral analyses in the region of H₂O and SO₂ absorption bands, *Cosmic Res., Engl. Transl.*, **23**(2), 202–211.
- Na, C. Y., and L. W. Esposito (1995), UV observations of Venus with HST (abstract), *Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.*, **27**, 1071.
- Na, C. Y., L. W. Esposito, and T. E. Skinner (1990), International Ultraviolet Explorer observation of Venus SO₂ and SO, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **95**(D6), 7485–7491, doi:10.1029/JD095iD06p07485.
- Na, C. Y., L. W. Esposito, W. E. McClintock, and C. A. Barth (1994), Sulfur dioxide in the atmosphere of Venus. II. Modeling results, *Icarus*, **112**, 389–395, doi:10.1006/icar.1994.1193.
- Nevejans, D., *et al.* (2006), Compact high-resolution spaceborne echelle grating spectrometer with acousto-optical tunable filter based order sorting for the infrared domain from 2.2 to 4.3 μ m, *Appl. Opt.*, **45**(21), 5191–5206, doi:10.1364/AO.45.005191.
- Owen, T., and C. Sagan (1972), Minor constituents in planetary atmospheres: Ultraviolet spectroscopy from the Orbiting Astronomical Observatory, *Icarus*, **16**, 557–568, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(72)90102-9.

- Parisot, J. P., P. Rigaud, and D. Huguenin (1986), Balloon observations of Venus from 200 to 320 nm, *Astron. Astrophys.*, *166*, 333–336.
- Pernice, H., et al. (2004), Laboratory evidence for a key intermediate in the Venus atmosphere: Peroxychloroformyl radical, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, *101*, 14,007–14,010, doi:10.1073/pnas.0405501101.
- Piccioni, G. (2008), Observations of Venus by VIRTIS aboard Venus Express, paper presented at 37th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, Montréal, Canada, 13–20 July.
- Plat, U. (1994), Differential optical absorption spectroscopy, in *Air Monitoring by Spectroscopic Techniques*, *Chem. Anal. Ser.*, vol. 127, edited by M. W. Sigrist, pp. 27–84, John Wiley, New York.
- Rothman, L. S., et al. (2005), The HITRAN 2004 molecular spectroscopic database, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, *96*(2), 139–204, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2004.10.008.
- Sandor, B. J., T. Clancy, G. H. Moriarty-Schieven (2007), SO and SO₂ in the Venus mesosphere: Observations of extreme and rapid variation, paper presented at 3th DPS Meeting, Am. Astron. Soc., Orlando, Fla.
- Stewart, A. I., D. E. Anderson, L. W. Esposito, and C. A. Barth (1979), Ultraviolet spectroscopy of Venus: Initial results from the Pioneer Venus orbiter, *Science*, *203*, 777–779, doi:10.1126/science.203.4382.777.
- Titov, D. V., et al. (2006), Venus Express: Scientific goals, instrumentation, and scenario of the mission, *Cosmic Res.*, Engl. Transl., *44*(4), 334–348, doi:10.1134/S0010952506040071.
- Vandaele, A. C., et al. (2008), Composition of the Venus mesosphere measured by Solar Occultation at Infrared on board Venus Express, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2008JE003140, in press.
- Winick, J. R., and A. I. Stewart (1980), Photochemistry of SO₂ in Venus' upper cloud layers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *85*, 7849–7860, doi:10.1029/JA085iA13p07849.
- Yung, Y. L., and W. B. Demore (1982), Photochemistry of the stratosphere of Venus—Implications for atmospheric evolution, *Icarus*, *51*(2), 199–247, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(82)90080-X.
- Zasova, L. V., V. I. Moroz, L. W. Esposito, and C. Y. Na (1993), SO₂ in the middle atmosphere of Venus: IR measurements from Venera-15 and comparison to UV data, *Icarus*, *105*(1), 92–109, doi:10.1006/icar.1993.1113.
- Zasova, L. V., et al. (2006), Structure of Venusian atmosphere from surface up to 100 km, *Cosmic Res.*, Engl. Transl., *44*(4), 364–383, doi:10.1134/S0010952506040095.
-
- D. Belyaev, A. Fedorova, and O. Korablev, IKI, 117997, 84/32 Profsoyuznaya Street, Moscow, Russia. (dbelyaev@iki.rssi.ru)
- J.-L. Bertaux and F. Montmessin, Service d'Aéronomie du CNRS, BP 3, F-91371 Verrières-le-Buisson, France.
- R. Drummond, A. Mahieux, A.-C. Vandaele, and V. Wilquet, Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, 3 avenue Circulaire, B-1180 Brussels, Belgium.